


	egion: Date Enrolled: GENERAL INFORMATION	Florida HEALTH
Da Mi Hi Pr Hi Ba Da Sa	all Name:	

Name: DOB; BREAST Risk for Breast Cancer: High/increased Not Assessed Uni Has client ever had breast cancer? Ves No	/_ Region:
Marriellow Marriellow Marriellow Design Finition Image Finition Image Finition OBE Result Image Finition Image Finition Description Image Finition Image Finition Image Finition Description Image Finition Image Finition Image Finition Image Finition Description Image Finition Im	CBE Provider #:CBE Screening Date:CBE Provider #:CBE Provider #:CBE Provider #:CBE Provider #:Mann Provider #:Mann Boreening Date:Mann Boreening Date:Mann Praid by FBCCEDP: Yes No
July 2018 PRF Page 2	

	CE	RVICAL	
	Yes No Unknown	sessed Unknown Yes No Previous Dx'd Cervical Cancer ?	Florida
	PAP		Florida HEALTH
	Indication for Pag Serventing Serventing Memory Page Referred in for diagnostic evaluation Cenvical Diagnostic Referral Date: No Page Directo diagnostics do stort-term follow-up (Res than three years since previous Page) Cenvical Diagnostic Referral Date: No cenvical service Specimen Type: Conventional Smear Liquid Based	Pap Provider 8: Pap Screening Date:/ Pap Paid by FBCCEDP: □ Yes □ No	TLALIT
1	Cervical Dysplasia or Cancer: Diagnostic work-	Admitocationoma in allu (His) Admitocationoma Result Unknow, presumed abnormal, Pap test from non-program Unknow de souce up planned on basis of abnormal Pap test or pelvic exam	
	HPY Indication for HPV Co-TextScreening Tage Text nd done Uninnown HPV Result Positive Positive Uninnown Uninnown	HPV Provider #:	
	July 2018	RF Page 4	



		Florida Core Program Performance Indicators from the Data Quality Indicator Guide (DQIG) October 2017 MDE Submission, Results from January 2016 - December 2016 Refer to the DQIG Report for additional information on these and other indicators					
CORE	PRO	GRAM PERFORMANCE INDICAT	ORS	Florida Results		All Programs Com Results	bined
Indicator Type	DQIG Item	Program Performance Indicator	CDC Standard	Percentage	Standard Met ? *	Percentage	Standard Met ? *
Screening	6.a.	Initial Program Pap Tests; Rarely or Never Screened	$\geq 20\%$	46.7% (1,295/2,771)	YES	33.8% (30,580/90,388)	YES
Screening	19.e.	Mammograms Provided to Women ≥ 50 Years of Age	$\geq 75\%$	91.1% (13,488/14,808)	YES	79.0% (154,331/195,240)	YES
Cervical	11.a.	Abnormal Screening Results with Complete Follow-Up	$\geq 90\%$	93.5% (29/31)	YES	92.4% (2,322/2,513)	YES
Cancer	16.d.	Abnormal Screening Results; Time from Screening to Diagnosis > 90 Days	$\leq 25\%$	10.3% (3/29)	YES	13.8% (256/1,855)	YES
	17.	Treatment Started for Diagnosis of HSIL, CIN2, CIN3, CIS, Invasive	$\geq 90\%$	93.8% (30/32)	YES	92.5% (2,052/2,218)	YES
Diagnostic	18.d.	HSIL, CIN2, CIN3, CIS; Time from Diagnosis to Treatment > 90 Days	$\leq 20\%$	16.0% (4/25)	YES	6.8% (128/1,892)	YES
Indicators	18.g.	Invasive Carcinoma; Time from Diagnosis to Treatment > 60 Days	$\leq 20\%$	0.0% (0/5)	YES	4.5% (7/156)	YES
Breast	20.a.	Abnormal Screening Results with Complete Follow-Up	$\geq 90\%$	97.7% (4,872/4,985)	YES	95.6% (64,914/67,899)	YES
Cancer	25.d.	Abnormal Screening Results; Time from Screening to Diagnosis > 60 Days	$\leq 25\%$	6.7% (326/4,872)	YES	6.9% (4,478/64,847)	YES
Diagnostic	26.	Treatment Started for Breast Cancer	$\geq 90\%$	96.8% (276/285)	YES	97.3% (3,107/3,193)	YES
Indicators	27.d	Breast Cancer, Time from Diagnosis to Treatment > 60 Days	≤ 20%	17.0% (47/276)	YES	9.6% (297/3,100)	YES


Г

*For percentages with a denominator ≥ 10, a one-sided hypothesis test was used in determining if a program failed to meet a DQIG standard. "Small #": The denominator is less than 10. The one-sided hypothesis test was not conducted.


11/27/17


Screening Final Program Pag Tests, Rarely or Never Screened Screening Screening Marmozyame Provide to Women >= 50 Years of Age >> 275%, 92 5%, 19 A Screening Marmozyame Revisit With Complete Follow-Lp >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076, 100 0%, >> 29076,	6% 85 N/A 100 N/A 100 N/A 8 N/A 8 N/A 10	51.5% 85.0% 100.0% 80.0% 0.0% 100.0% 99.6% 4.4% 5.9%	61.0% 77.0% N/A 100.0% 100.0% N/A 100.0% 4.1% 91.7%	N/A N/A N/A	N	% 92.1% % N// /A N// /A N//	6 77.8% A N/A A N/A	31.6 84.5 N N
19 Description university in a reveal to vote in a for the data data. 240 yrs	N/A 100 N/A 0 N/A 8 N/A 100 056 90 056 90 056 0 056 0 056 0 056 0 056 0 056 0 056 0 056 0 050 0 050 0 050 0	100.0% 0.0% 80.0% 100.0% 99.5% 4.4% 63.0%	N/A N/A 100.0% 100.0% N/A 100.0% 4.1% 91.7%	0.0% N/A N/A N/A N/A 99.2%	0.0 N. N. N.	14 N// 14 N// 14 N//	A N/A A N/A A 0.0%	N
Central Concept File Assume 1	N/A (N/A 88 N/A (N/A 10 (5% 91 (6% 6 (0% 6 (0% 6 (0% 6) (0% 6)(0.0% 80.0% 0.0% 100.0% 99.6% 4.4% 63.0%	N/A 100,0% 100,0% N/A 100,0% 4,1% 91,7%	N/A N/A N/A 99.2%	N N N	/A N// /A N// /A N//	A N/A	N
Disposite TT Testerer Stand for Dayson at USL, CH L CK L CS, Inselect >>400% National Standard Standa	N/A 8 N/A 0 N/A 10 (5% 9) (6% 6 N/A 10 (5% 9) (6% 6 N/A 10 (5% 9) (6% 6 N/A 10 (5% 9) (6% 6 N/A 10) (5% 9) (6% 6 N/A 10) (6% 6 N/A 10) (7% 7) (7% 7)	0.0% 100.0% 99.6% 4.4% 68.0%	100.0% 100.0% N/A 100.0% 4.1% 91.7%	N/A N/A N/A 99.2%	N	/A N/A /A N/A		
Interaction Test Concert Letts, Chi L Chi L Co, Time Film Dagross to Traterer 140 Days excepts Na Breat Concert Less of concert Less of concerts Test Time Dagross to Traterer 140 Days excepts Na excepts Na Breat Concert Less of concerts Test Time Dagross to Traterer 140 Days excepts Na excepts Na Breat Concert Less of concerts Test Time Dagross to Traterer 140 Days excepts Accord Less of concerts excepts Accord Less of concerts excepts Accord Less of concerts excepts	N/A 10 N/A 10 0% 91 0% 6 1.0% 6 1.3% 6 1.0% 7 1.0%	0.0% 100.0% 99.6% 4.4% 68.0%	100.0% N/A 100.0% 4.1% 91.7%	N/A N/A 99.2%	N	/A N/A		
Braid Council 200 Account literancegan Result With Corport Follow ap. 244000 244000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 246000 2460000 2460000 2460000 2460000 2460000 2460000 2460000 24600000 24600000 24600000 246000000 246000000000000000000000000000000000000	10% 91 10% 0%	99.5% 4.4% 68.6%	100.0% 4.1% 91.7%	99.2%				N
Balast Cale 2014 Accornal Harmorgan Several Deviati Tim from Several Disputsive 2010 yrs exet 2014 Balastickie 2014 Accornal Harmorgan Several Deviati Tim from Several Disputsive 2010 yrs exet 2014 Balastickie 2014 Deviation Preformance Indicator 2014 Deviation 2014 Deviation 2014 Deviation 2014 Balastickie 2014 Deviation Preformance Indicator 2014 Deviation 2014 Deviatin 2014 Deviation 2014 Deviatin 2014 Deviation 2014 Deviation	16% 4 1.0% 6 1.3% 3 ade Oso	4.4%	4,1%					N
Using International Control Tensor Contrel Tensor Control Tensor Control Tensor Control Tensor Control	1.0% 6 1.3% 3 ade Oso	68.0%	91.7%		100.0			91.9
Indicators 274 Server Cancer, Time from Diagnosis to Treatment ## 00 Days essays essays 93.291 Indicators Type Indicator Program References Indicator COC Manifebber Stream Biological Program References Indicator COC Manifebber Amanifebber Amanifebber Stream Stream<	ade Oso			100.0%	6.3 100.0		6 0.6% 6 100.0%	0.0 57.1
Instactor Type # Program Performance Indicator Standard Screening 6 Instance Type Table Services 37 000 19e Screening Marming and Paylow Invert Screening 340 000 37 000 39 000 11e Anormal Charles Wend Screening Marming and Paylow Invert Screening Marming and Paylow Into Work 30 000 34000 37 000			0.0%		50.0			N
Instactor Type # Program Performance Indicator Standard Screening 6 Instance Type Table Services 37 000 19e Screening Marming and Paylow Invert Screening 340 000 37 000 39 000 11e Anormal Charles Wend Screening Marming and Paylow Invert Screening Marming and Paylow Into Work 30 000 34000 37 000			-	Concession in the				
Screening 19e Screening Mammograms Provide to Women >= 50 Years of Age >=75% 30.6% 11a Abnormal Cervical Screening Results With Complete Follow-Up >=80% 100.0%			Pasco	Pinellas	Putnam	Sarasota	Seminole	Volusi
11a Abnormal Cervical Screening Results With Complete Follow-Up >=90% 100.0%		52.9% 77.5%	45.7%	28.6%	40.7			26.7
		N/A	0.0%			A 100.0%	5 100.0%	100.0
		N/A	N/A		N			N
Diagnostic 17 Treatment Started for Diagnosis of HSIL, CIN II, CIN III, CIS, Invasive >=90% 100.0%	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	% 100.09	6 100.0%	N
Indicators 18d Cervical: HSIL, CIN II, CIN III, CIS; Time From Diagnosis to Treatment >90 Days <=20% 0.0%		N/A	N/A		0.0			N
18g Cervical: Invasive Carcinoma, Time From Diagnosis to Treatment >60 Days <=20% 0.0% 20a Abnormal Mammogram Results With Complete Follow-up >=90% 59.8%		N/A 95.5%	N/A 93.7%		N 91.9			N 95.7
Breast Cancer 264 Abovemal Mammonstam Screening Pasulty: Time from Screening to Departure to Departure of Departure of the Screening Scr		0.0%	5.6%	4.0%	91.9			30.0
Disgnostic 200 Treatment Started for Breast Cancer 2400% 26,2%			100.0%	95.0%	84.6			81.6
27d Breast Cancer; Time from Diagnosis to Treatment >= 60 Days <=20% 5.0%		0.0%	0.0%	42.1%	0.0	% 7.15	6 0.0%	0.0
Indicator not m CDC statistica								
Denominator (r statiscally	met.		
Department of p	noi fiorai	can cases) r	ress that	i io cases.				
Performance Indicators								
 Program indicators have been developed to provide a systematic approach for rapid assessment of program pro 								
 Indicators provide a snapshot that can serve as an "early detection system" for potential problems and as a way 	n progre	aress.			novomor	ts over time		
 Indicators are used by CDC and the central office to guide development of new policies, training, and technical 			a prog	ram's im				
	way to	to track a		ram's im	provenier			
	way to	to track a		ram's im	proverner			
 Indicators should be interpreted individually as a gauge of performance in a specific program area. 	way to	to track a		ram's im	provemen			
	way to	to track a		ram's im	proverner			
 Indicators should be interpreted individually as a gauge of performance in a specific program area. 	way to	to track a		ram's im	proverner			
 Indicators should be interpreted individually as a gauge of performance in a specific program area. 	way to	to track a		ram's im	provemen			

1



	Count	Goal	% of Goal	
BREVARD	757	800	94.6	
BROWARD	1284	1600	80.3	
DUVAL	1145	1100	104.1	
ESCAMBIA	389	480	81.0	
GADSDEN	56	56	100.0	
HILLSBOROUGH	1611	1500	107.4	
JACKSON	572	600	95.3	
LEON	273	300	91.0	
MIAMI-DADE	2450	2275	107.7	
OSCEOLA	216	350	61.7	
PASCO	491	550	89.3	
PINELLAS	1019	1050	97.0	
PUTNAM	539	600	89.8	
SARASOTA	1197	1400	85.5	
SEMINOLE	241	325	74.2	
VOLUSIA	1013	1200	84.4	
Total	13253	14186	93.4	





Fields for FBCCEDP and **FCDS Cancer Match**



First Name Last Name Middle Name Maiden Name Patient Id SSN Date of Birth County ID **County Name Primary Street Primary City**

Primary Zip Phone Day Race Code Race **Ethnicity Code** Dx Type Code Data Type Name FBCCEDP Final Dx Date CSLYMPH Final Dx Code Final Dx REGSTAT

REGDXDT REGHIST REGBEHAV REGSUMSG CSAJCC **CSTUMSZ** CDEXT CSMETS PRISITE FCDS Provider ID Breast Left or Right

CDC not collecting these fields from registry data



- Histologic Type
- Behavior
- **Tumor Size**
- Extension
- Lymph Nodes
- Mets at Diagnosis
- Primary Site

